Police and Crime Panel for Lancashire

Meeting to be held on the 20th July 2021

Membership Update

Contact for further information: David Fairclough, Secretary to Police & Crime Panel, david.fairclough@blackburn.gov.uk

Executive Summary

To consider the membership of the Police and Crime Panel for 2021/22, the Appointment of the Secretary to the Panel, the Appointments to the Complaints Sub Committee, the Streaming of Police & Crime Panel Meeting, the Appointment of a Recruitment Panel for the appointment to the vacancy of Independent Co Opted Member.

Recommendation

Panel is asked to:

Confirm the Panel Membership for 2021/2022 as 15 core Elected Members (plus 2 Independent persons) plus agree subject to Home Office approval 3 additional elected members as set out in this report, to achieve as far as reasonably practicable political balance.

Membership Update 2021/22

The Police Reform and Social Responsibility Act 2011 introduced Police and Crime Panels (PCP) as formal joint committee of all the local authorities in a police force area.

For Lancashire the Police force area includes the County Council, 12 District Councils and the two Unitary Councils. The first meeting of the Police and Crime Panel for Lancashire was held on the 31st July 2012.

Constitution of the PCP

As previously reported, in Lancashire the Panel is made up of 15 Elected Members, one from each Authority. Up to an additional 3 elected members can be added in order to achieve the 'balanced appointment objective' so that when taken together the Members of the Panel represented the political make up of all the relevant local authorities for the police area and had the skills, knowledge and experience necessary for it to discharge its functions effectively.

Having considered the provisions in the legislation and options available for applying a political balance to the Panel, it was agreed that the constitution of the PCP for 2012/13 be based on calculation of the then political balances across the whole membership of authorities, with the Independent Members being grouped together as a whole. At that time, it was also agreed to appoint an additional three Elected Members to achieve political balance and the Home Secretary subsequently approved this basis in order to achieve the political balance objective. This has been the arrangement for most years since.

It was also previously agreed that Council Members of the Panel would serve a 12 month term on the Panel and that the political balance of the Panel would be reviewed after the Local Elections each May. After the elections, each Council being invited to nominate their representative for the Panel.

Councils were therefore requested to confirm their nominations for this new municipal year given the previous agreement that Council Members would serve 12 monthly terms.

In addition to the above Members, the Panel also appoints 2 Independent Co-opted Members, who are not elected representatives, to serve on the Panel. One of these seats is currently vacant.

From nominations received for 2021/22 the Panel's core membership of 15 Councillors (plus 2 co-opted members) resulted in a political balance of

Labour	Conservative	Lib Dem	Independent/Other
9	5	0	1

The Regulations and Guidance however require the Panel to seek to be politically balanced. To assess the balance, all the current council seats held from across Lancashire, were added together to identify the target balance that would achieve political balance which would be:

Labour	Conservative	Lib Dem	Independent
6	6	1	Member 2

The Regulations and Guidance then provide for the Councils as a first step in terms of seeking to address any such issue arising to invite the core member councils to reconsider their individual nominations to see if this would bring the balance more into line, i.e. to seek to achieve the political balance objective.

As discussed by Lancashire Leaders, however no Council was agreeable to changing their nomination.

If however a change is made in nominations following the publication of this Agenda this will be reported and this report updated at the meeting.

In anticipation however that the position may remain unchanged, i.e. no Council is willing to change their nomination, the next step, is to then seek to add councillor membership up to the maximum allowed of 18 elected members to seek to address

the political balance objective. This was the action taken up to 2019/20 by the Panel to seek to address this issue to the best possible outcome within the restrictions of the regulations. The additional 3 seats proposed and the names of those proposed additional councillors require the unanimous agreement of the 15 Council's core membership. Theses Councillors would also require the approval of the Home Office with an explanation of the reasoning for their appointments.

An18 seat (Councillor membership) would result in an amended ideal political balance of:

Labour	Conservative	Lib Dem	Independent
7	8	1	Member 2

As referenced above in the absence of a council(s) under stage 1 of the process not wishing to agree a change of nomination a proposal is presented to the AGM for the following utilisation of the potential additional 3 seats for 2021/22 (subject to Home Office approval).

18 Elected Members (plus 2 Independent persons) on the following basis

Labour	Conservative	Lib Dem	Independent
9	8 (+3)	0	Member 1

The Secretary advises the Panel that whilst the option does not make it possible to achieve the exact political balance, the Local Government Association ['LGA'] Guidance on Panel Arrangements and the Balanced Appointment Objective states:

'In some cases it may be difficult to achieve political balance, especially where it is not possible to co-opt additional councillors on to the panel. Councils may not be persuaded to nominate opposition members to achieve complete political balance and as the Home Office legal clarification points out, in recognition of this the Police Reform and Social Responsibility Act states that the balanced appointment objective must be secured 'as far as is practicable'. As the Home Office has also pointed out, whatever membership is eventually agreed on, the rational for doing that needs to be robust enough to withstand legal challenge.'

The Secretary has also advised that the Local Authorities combined must 'agree' to the balance of the Panel and that the Home Office has powers to intervene and make appointments if agreement cannot be reached locally, though it has been made clear that the best Panel arrangements are those which are locally determined.

In previous years the positon has been subject to consultation with the Home Office. On 1st October 2019 the Home Office wrote to respond to the Panel as regards the issue of membership and the `balanced appointment objective`. A copy of the response was circulated to Lancashire Leaders and Panel Members on 10th October 2019 and again on 16th June 2021.

The Home Office confirmed the advice of the Secretary at the time that:

"It is the responsibility of the Panel to agree nominations which are acceptable to all of its members. As noted in your letter, the balanced appointment objective must be secured `as far as reasonably practicable' – if you feel the disagreement on co-option is irreconcilable, then the Panel may have reached the limit of this definition."

Given this advice that Panel are asked to confirm their membership for 2021/22 as a minimum of the current 15 members plus 2 independent persons or in the alternative the Panel could review co-opting additional elected members, under the balanced appointment objective (example option above). However these additional 3 seats would need to be agreed by the whole Panel and then be approved by the Secretary of State.

Consultations

All Local Authorities represented on the PCP are consulted in relation to the Constitution/Membership and political balance on the Panel as set out in this report.

Implications:

This item has the following implications, as indicated:

Risk Management

The requirement for an Independent Police and Crime Panel for Lancashire is in accordance with the provisions of the Police Reform and Social Responsibility Act 2011.

Legal Implications

The legal implications of this report are set out in the body of the report. The Panel is set up in accordance with Part 2 of Schedule 6 of the The Police Reform and Social Responsibility Act 2011. Schedule 6 part 4 [Paragraph 31] makes provision for the duty to produce a balanced panel and secure that (as far is reasonably practicable) the balance appointment objective is met.

The legislation specifies that Police and Crime Panels must be balanced in terms of geography, political make-up and the skills, knowledge and experience of panel members.

In particular, the LGA guidance explains the legal requirement to have a Panel that represents all parts of the police area and represents the political make-up of the relevant local authorities, when taken together.

Local authorities could look to achieve this, in the first instance, by considering the proportion of councillors from each political party across the force area. This approach is the closest to the spirit of the legislation.

The legislation also requires that the "balanced appointment objective" includes that the Panel members (when taken together) "have the necessary skills, knowledge and experience necessary for the Police and Crime Panel to discharge its functions effectively".

The Home Office confirmed as reported in December 2019 how the legislation should be interpreted in respect of the balanced appointment objective.

Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985 List of Background Papers

Responses received from Individual Local Authorities regarding nominated Representatives June/ 2021

David Fairclough Secretary to the Police & Crime Panel

Reason for inclusion in Part II, if appropriate N/A